

From: [Ronald Conrow](#)
To: [Lawrence, Kathryn](#)
Cc: [Wong, Jeannie](#); [Helmlinger, Andrew](#)
Subject: RE: Gunter to EPA-Mary
Date: Thursday, December 05, 2013 10:26:57 AM

Ms. Lawrence,

Thanks you for your response and attention to my request.

Regards,
Ron Conrow

From: Lawrence, Kathryn [mailto:Lawrence.Kathryn@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 10:24 AM
To: Ronald Conrow
Cc: Wong, Jeannie; Helmlinger, Andrew
Subject: Re: Gunter to EPA-Mary

Hello Mr. Conrow

Thank you for forwarding your email to Mary. I have cc'd Andrew Helmlinger in this reply so that he is aware of your inquiry.

Kathryn Lawrence
Emergency Prevention and Preparedness
415 972 3039

From: Ronald Conrow <Ronald.Conrow@plainsmidstream.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 7:30:36 AM
To: Lawrence, Kathryn
Cc: Wong, Jeannie
Subject: FW: Gunter to EPA-Mary

Ms. Lawrence or Ms. Wong,

Please see my e-mail to Mary and her subsequent auto response.

Regards,

Ron Conrow

West District Manager
Plains LPG Services, LP
19430 Beech Avenue
Shafter, CA 93263
Office: 661-368-7917
Cell: 661-319-9978

ronald.conrow@plainsmidstream.com

I am out of the office on personal travel, beginning Thursday 12/5/2013. I am not sure of my return date yet.

If you'd like to send me a message, you may do so via email. However, I will not be checking email frequently. If you need assistance right away, please contact Kay Lawrence at 415-972-3039 or Jeannie Wong at 415-972-3079.

Thank you,
Mary Wesling
415-972-3080

From: Ronald Conrow
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 7:13 AM
To: Wesling.Mary@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Gunter to EPA-Mary

Mary,

Attached is an e-mail correspondence from Janet Gunter to you and numerous others. As usual her claims against Rancho are unfounded and have no regulatory or legal supportive documentation. I have drafted a response to local lawmakers to provide documented responses to Ms. Gunter's claims, including the assumptions made by Professor Heaton about Rancho's refrigerated tanks.

However, on page 2, Ms. Gunter is again claiming a blast radius of over 3-miles from one Rancho refrigerated tank using EPA calculations. Is the blast radius correct and in accordance to the parameters stated in 40CFR68 for a "worst case" release scenario for the largest tank (one) of refrigerated butane into passive mitigation system with an ensuing vapor cloud explosion?

As District Manager with oversight for the Rancho LPG facility located at 2110 North Gaffey Street in San Pedro, CA, I hereby state the "worst case" scenario for the facility based upon parameters set forth in EPA regulation 40CFR68 is 0.5 miles at a 1.0psi overpressure to endpoint? Has the EPA reviewed Rancho's "worst case" release scenario as submitted in our Risk Management Plan (RMP) and is it accordance with EPA regulation 40CFR68? Is this RMP available for public review at the local Administering Agency (AA) which is the LAFD/CUPA office in downtown Los Angeles?

Regards,

Ron Conrow

West District Manager
Plains LPG Services, LP
19430 Beech Avenue
Shafter, CA 93263
Office: 661-368-7917
Cell: 661-319-9978

ronald.conrow@plainsmidstream.com